FILED 6/29/2017 3:52 PM Court of Appeals Division II State of Washington

No. 49838-3-II

COURT OF APPEALS, DIVISION II OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

RALLAND LEROY WALLACE and DARLENE WALLACE and the Marital Community composed thereof,

Appellants,

VS.

CHEHALIS SCHOOL DISTRICT, a local government entity,

Respondent.

Lewis County Superior Court Cause No. 16-2-00219-21

Honorable Judge James W. Lawler

Appellants' Reply Brief

Michael N. Gutzler
Attorney for Appellants
MICHAEL N. GUTZLER, PC
720 SW Washington, Suite 700
Portland, OR 97205
503-228-8136
mikegutzler@ghlawfirm.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABLE OF CONTENTS	į
ABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
RGUMENT	1
ONCLUSION	2

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

WASHINGTON STATE CASES		VASHINGTON STATE CASES
Marsh v. General Adjustment Bureau, 22 Wn.		Marsh v. General Adjustment Bureau, 22 Wn.
App. 933, 592 P.2d 676 (1979)	1 '	pp. 933, 592 P.2d 6/6 (1979)

ARGUMENT

The District contests Mr. Wallace's claim that the facts of this case are stronger than those in *Marsh v. General*Adjustment Bureau, 22 Wn. App. 933, 592 P.2d 676 (1979) by arguing that in *Marsh* there was a dispute regarding what was said. Mr. Wallace disagrees, because in *Marsh* the plaintiff was going to have to prove a representative of the college made certain statements, and only if the plaintiff proved those statements were made would the question whether the plaintiff was reasonable in delaying action be reached. In our case, on the other hand, the relevant facts are apparently not disputed by the District:

- Plaintiff was told the district had insurance to cover this sort of thing.
- (2) Plaintiff visited the District office several times inquiring about the situation.
- (3) During those visits, the District personnel could not find the paperwork.
- (4) Paperwork was filled out in November, 2015 by District employees, which was almost three years afterwards.

Mr. Wallace has less to prove, then, than the plaintiff in

Marsh (reasonableness only, as opposed the facts and reasonableness).

The District also takes Mr. Wallace to task for referring to the form that was filled out as a "claim form" rather than an "accident report". It does not make any difference to Mr. Wallace's arguments and position what the document is called. The point is that, almost three years after the event, the District was gathering and recording information about the event. That conduct supports the reasonableness of Mr. Wallace's reliance on his understanding that a claim for his injuries was being processed no matter how the document is labeled.

CONCLUSION

For these reasons, the decision of the trial court should be reversed.

DATED this 29th day of June, 2017,

Michael N. Gutzler, Attorney for Appellant Washington State Bar Assn. No. 33374

MICHAEL N. GUTZLER, PC 720 SW Washington, Suite 700

Portland, OR 97205 Phone: 503-228-8136 Fax: 503-228-6020

mikegutzler@ghlawfirm.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on today's date:

I mailed a copy of Appellants' Reply Brief, postage prepaid to:

Brett S. Simmons Elena C. Bundy Roy, Simmons, Smith & Parsons, PS 1223 Commercial Street Bellingham, WA 98225

With the permission of the recipients, I delivered an electronic version of the Brief, using the Court's filing portal, to:

Elena @royandsimmons.com Bret@royandsimmons.com

I filed the Appellants' Reply Brief electronically with the Court of Appeals, Division II, through the Court's online filing system.

I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

Signed in Portland, Oregon on June 29, 2017.

Michael N. Gutzler, WSBA No. 33374

Attorney for Appellants

MICHAEL N. GUTZLER, PC

June 29, 2017 - 3:52 PM

Transmittal Information

Filed with Court: Court of Appeals Division II

Appellate Court Case Number: 49838-3

Appellate Court Case Title: Ralland Wallace, Appellant v. Chehalis School District, Respondent

Superior Court Case Number: 16-2-00219-4

The following documents have been uploaded:

• 3-498383 Briefs 20170629154836D2712182 7781.pdf

This File Contains:

Briefs - Appellants Reply

The Original File Name was Appellants Reply Brief-to file.pdf

A copy of the uploaded files will be sent to:

• bret@royandsimmons.com

• elena@royandsimmons.com

Comments:

Sender Name: Sherry Harney - Email: sherry@ghlawfirm.com

Filing on Behalf of: Michael N Gutzler - Email: mikegutzler@ghlawfirm.com (Alternate Email:

sherry@ghlawfirm.com)

Address:

720 SW Washington, Suite 700

Portland, OR, 97205 Phone: (503) 228-8136

Note: The Filing Id is 20170629154836D2712182